

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,854	07/05/2005	Richard Alan Metcalfe	818,102	9019
. 24106 73	590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
EGBERT LAW OFFICES 412 MAIN STREET, 7TH FLOOR		•	STORMER, RUSSELL D	
HOUSTON, T	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3617	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/517,854	METCALFE, RICHARD ALAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Russell D. Stormer	3617	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING DA lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. It dabove, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN . 3) ☐ Since this applica	tion is in condition for allowa	 action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> i	6-12 is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawing	equest that any objection to the ng sheet(s) including the correct	er. epted or b) objected to by the led or b) objected to by the led or b) objected to by the led or awing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object of the attached office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat (3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

Application/Control Number: 10/517,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4 the term - -are- - should be inserted before the word "deformable."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "or other similarly resilient material" is indefinite because it is not clear which materials are included in such a group, the resiliency of the rubber has not been set forth, and the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, and 6-12 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanghetti in view of Ford Jr. and Aske Jr.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,854

Art Unit: 3617

Tanghetti discloses a trim ring for a wheel comprising an annulus with an unturned outer rim located over and around the outer lip of the wheel rim. See especially figure 4. The inner rim of the annulus is unturned at 9, 15. The trim ring does not include a plurality of grippers and does not have a resilient insert.

Ford Jr. teaches a trim ring for a wheel rim comprising an annulus having an unturned edge and a plurality of grippers 25 for retaining the ring on the wheel rim flange.

Aske Jr. teaches a cover for a wheel in which a resilient rubber insert 35 is provided between the cover and the wheel rim to provide friction between the two components.

From the teachings of Ford Jr. and Aske Jr. it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to provide the annulus of Tanghetti with a plurality of grippers spaced around the ring to help retain the trim ring on the wheel rim, and further to provide a resilient rubber insert to prevent the ring from rotating relative to the wheel.

With respect to claim 6, the number of grippers is an obvious mechanical expedient and those of ordinary skill in the art would have readily been able to determine a suitable number of grippers for the trim ring.

With respect to claim 7, the use of adhesive to secure a wheel cover or trim ring to a wheel is well-known in the art and to adhesively secure the trim ring of Tanghetti to the wheel would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art as an extra measure of safety.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

With respect to claims 9 and 11, the method limitations are given no patentable weight in the apparatus claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper."

A copy of each foreign reference has not been submitted.

Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other trim rings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/27/06

RUSSELL D. STORMER

PRIMARY EXAMINER